Al Hansen, the newly appointed vice president of finance of Berkshire Instruments, was eager to talk to his investment banker about future financing for the firm. One of Al’s first assignments was to determine the firm’s cost of capital. In assessing the weights to use in computing the cost of capital, he examined the current balance sheet, presented in Figure 1.

In their discussion, Al and his investment banker determined that the current mix in the capital structure was very close to optimal and that Berkshire Instruments should continue with it in the future. Of some concern was the appropriate cost to assign to each of the elements in the capital structure. Al Hansen requested that his administrative assistant provide data on what the cost of issue debt and preferred stock had been in the past. The information is provided in Figure 2.

When Al got the data, he felt he was making real progress toward determining the cost of capital for the firm. However, his investment banker indicated that he was going about the process in an incorrect manner. The important issue is the current cost of funds, not the historical cost. The banker suggested that a comparable firm in the industry, in terms of size and bond rating (Baa), Rollins Instruments, had issued bonds a year and a half ago for 9.3 percent interest at a $1,000 par value, and the bonds were currently selling for $890. The bonds had 20 years remaining to maturity. The banker also observed that Rollins Instruments had just issued preferred stock at $60 per share, and the preferred stock paid an annual dividend of $4.80.

In terms of cost of common equity, the banker suggested that Al Hansen use the dividend valuation model as a first approach to determining cost of equity. Based on that approach, Al observed that earnings were $3 a share and that 40 percent would be paid out in dividends ($D_1$). The current stock price was $25. Dividends in the last four years had grown from 82 cents to the current value.

The banker indicated that the underwriting cost (flotation cost) on a preferred stock issue would be $2.60 per share and $2.00 per share on common stock. Al Hansen further observed that his firm was in a 35 percent marginal tax bracket.

With all this information in hand, Al Hansen sat down to determine his firm’s cost of capital. He was a little confused about computing the firm’s cost of common equity. He knew there were two different
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Figure 1

BERKSHIRE INSTRUMENTS
Statement of Financial Position
December 31, 1999

Assets

Current assets:
- Cash ........................................ $ 400,000
- Marketable securities ...................... 200,000
- Accounts receivable .......... $ 2,600,000
  Less: Allowance for bad debts 2,300,000
- Inventory .................................. 5,500,000
Total current assets ......................... $ 8,400,000

Fixed Assets:
- Plant and equipment, original cost .... 30,700,000
  Less: Accumulated depreciation .... 13,200,000
Net plant and equipment .................. 17,500,000
Total assets ................................ $25,900,000

Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity

Current liabilities:
- Accounts payable ....................... $ 6,200,000
- Accrued expenses ......................... 1,700,000
Total current liabilities ................. 7,900,000

Long-term financing:
- Bonds payable ................................ $ 6,120,000
- Preferred stock ......................... 1,080,000
- Common stock .......................... 6,300,000
  Retained earnings ........................ 4,500,000
  Common equity .......................... 10,800,000
Total common equity ...................... 18,000,000
Total long-term financing ............... $25,900,000

Figure 2

Cost of prior issues of debt and preferred stock

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Security</th>
<th>Year of Issue</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>$1,120,000</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred stock</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred stock</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>480,000</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

formulas: one: one for the cost of retained earnings and one for the cost of new common stock. His investment banker suggested that he follow the normally accepted approach used in determining the marginal cost of capital. First, determine the cost of capital for as large a capital structure as current retained earnings will support; then, determine the cost of capital based on exclusively using new common stock.
Required

Determine the weighted average cost of capital based on using retained earnings in the capital structure. The percentage composition in the capital structure for bonds, preferred stock, and common equity should be based on the current capital structure of long-term financing as shown in Figure 1 (it adds up to $18 million). Common equity will represent 60 percent of financing throughout this case.

2 Recompute the weighted average cost of capital based on using new common stock in the capital structure. The weights remain the same, only common equity is now supplied by new common stock, rather than by retained earnings. After how much new financing will this increase in the cost of capital take place? Determine this by dividing retained earnings by the percent of common equity in the capital structure (this is a slight variation from the formula in the book, due to an added dimension in the case).

3 Assume the investment banker also wishes to use the capital asset pricing model, as shown in Formula 11.5 in the text, to compute the cost (required return) on common stock. Assume $R_f$ = 6 percent, $\beta$ is 1.25, and $K_m$ is 13 percent. What is the value of $K_r$? How does this compare to the value of $K_r$ computed in question 1?